	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/792,145	OULU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141
All Participants: Status of Application: allowed		
(1) Kenneth R. Coulter.	(3)	
(2) Mary Jo Bertani (Reg. No. 42,321).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 April 2008</u>	Time: <u>4:51 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 3 - 13, and 15 - 21		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Kenneth R Coulter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2141	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/792,145

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the cancelation of claims 19 - 21 and addition of substantive hardware in the preamble of independent claims 1 and 11 would place the present Application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative, Mary Jo Bertani, indicated that these modifications to the claim language were acceptable.